

AD-A219 255

DTIC FILE COPY



CHARACTERIZING EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS
VIA CONDITIONAL INDEPENDENCE

by

TaChen Liang
Wayne State University

Technical Report #90-10

PURDUE UNIVERSITY



DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 15 1990
S E D

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

90 03 14 085

1

CHARACTERIZING EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS
VIA CONDITIONAL INDEPENDENCE

by

TaChen Liang
Wayne State University

Technical Report #90-10

Department of Statistics
Purdue University

February 1990

ETIC
FLECTE
MA 15 1990

DEFINITION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

CHARACTERIZING EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS VIA CONDITIONAL INDEPENDENCE*

by

TaChen Liang
Department of Mathematics
Wayne State University
Detroit, MI 48202

Abstract

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be n mutually independent, nonnegative random variables such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an absolutely continuous distribution function $F(x; \theta_i) = F(\frac{x}{\theta_i})$, where $\theta_i > 0$, and $F(\cdot)$ has support $[0, \infty)$. We show that given $Y_i - Y_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the random variables $Y_1 - Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n$ and Y_n to be conditionally mutually independent is that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an exponential distribution.

AMS 1980 Subject Classification: 62E10

Keywords: characterization, exponential distribution, conditional independence.

* This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-88-K-0170 and NSF Grants DMS-8606964, DMS-8702620 at Purdue University.

1. Introduction

The problem of characterizing the exponential distributions has been studied in the literature for a long time. For most recent references, for examples, see Ahsanullah (1984), Gather (1989) and Too and Lin (1989) among the many papers in this research area.

In this paper, we consider the following problem. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be n mutually independent, nonnegative random variables such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an absolutely continuous distribution function $F(x; \theta_i) = F(\frac{x}{\theta_i})$, where $\theta_i > 0$, and $F(\cdot)$ has support $[0, \infty)$. Let A denote the event that $Y_i - Y_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Given A , we seek a necessary and sufficient condition for $Y_1 - Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n$ and Y_n to be conditionally mutually independent. We find that the N & S condition is: for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an exponential distribution. The main result is given in the next section.

2. The main result

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n denote n mutually independent, nonnegative random variables such that Y_i has absolutely continuous distribution function $F_i(x)$ and density function $f_i(x)$, where $f_i(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$. Let A be the event that $Y_i - Y_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. First, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Conditional on the event A , $Y_1 - Y_2$ and Y_2 are independent iff Y_1 has an exponential distribution.

Proof: (Sufficiency) The conditional independence implies that for fixed $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$,

$$P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > a, Y_2 > b | A\} = P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > a | A\} P\{Y_2 > b | A\}. \quad (1)$$

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

After some computations, from (1), we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > 0, Y_2 > b, Y_2 - Y_3 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\}}{P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > 0, Y_2 - Y_3 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\}} \\
&= \frac{P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > a, Y_2 > b, Y_2 - Y_3 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\}}{P\{Y_1 - Y_2 > a, Y_2 - Y_3 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{y=b}^{\infty} P\{Y_1 > y + a, Y_3 < y, Y_3 - Y_4 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\} f_2(y) dy}{\int_{y=0}^{\infty} P\{Y_1 > y + a, Y_3 < y, Y_3 - Y_4 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\} f_2(y) dy} \quad (2) \\
&= \frac{\int_{y=b}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y + a)] G(y) f_2(y) dy}{\int_{y=0}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y + a)] G(y) f_2(y) dy} \equiv H_b(a) \text{ (say),}
\end{aligned}$$

where $G(y) = P\{Y_3 < y, Y_3 - Y_4 > 0, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n > 0\}$, and the last equality is obtained due to the assumption that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are mutually independent. Note that for each fixed $b \geq 0$, $H_b(a)$ is a constant function of the variable a . Thus, $\frac{\partial H_b(a)}{\partial a} = 0$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
M_a(b) &\equiv \int_{y=b}^{\infty} f_1(y + a) G(y) f_2(y) dy \times \int_{y=0}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y + a)] G(y) dy \\
&\quad - \int_{y=b}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y + a)] G(y) f_2(y) dy \times \int_{y=0}^{\infty} f_1(y + a) G(y) f_2(y) dy \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $a = 0$ and differentiating $M_0(b)$ with respect to the variable b yields the following:

$$\frac{f_1(b)}{1 - F_1(b)} = \frac{\int_{y=0}^{\infty} f_1(y) G(y) f_2(y) dy}{\int_{y=0}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y)] G(y) f_2(y) dy} \quad (3)$$

which is a positive constant since by the assumption, F_i has support $[0, \infty)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since b can be any positive number, thus (3) implies that $F_1(\cdot)$ is an exponential distribution with mean $\int_{y=0}^{\infty} [1 - F_1(y)] G(y) f_2(y) dy / \int_{y=0}^{\infty} f_1(y) G(y) f_2(y) dy$.

(Necessity) Since F_1 is an exponential distribution, we can see the right-hand-side of (2) is independent of the value a and hence $H_b(a)$ is a constant function of the variable a , which implies (1). Thus, given A , $Y_1 - Y_2$ and Y_2 are conditionally independent.

Remark: In Lemma 1, we only require $F_i(\cdot)$ has support $[0, \infty)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is not necessary that $F_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, belong to the same class of scale family distributions.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $F_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, belong to the same class of scale family distributions, that is, $F_i(x) = F(x; \theta_i) = F(\frac{x}{\theta_i})$, $\theta_i > 0$, where the distribution function $F(\cdot)$ has support $[0, \infty)$. Then, conditional on the event A, a necessary and sufficient condition for $Y_1 - Y_2, Y_2 - Y_3, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n$ and Y_n to be mutually independent is that for each $i = 1, \dots, n, Y_i$ has an exponential distribution.

Proof: (Sufficiency) Given the event A, the conditionally mutual independence among $Y_1 - Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n$ and Y_n implies that given A, $Y_1 - Y_2$ and Y_2 are conditionally independent. Then, by Lemma 1, Y_1 has an exponential distribution. By the assumption, Y_i has distribution F_i belonging to the same class of scale family distributions for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, which implies that Y_i has an exponential distribution for each $i = 2, \dots, n$ since F_1 is an exponential distribution function.

(Necessity) See Lemma 2.1 (ii) of Sackrowitz and Samuel-Cahn (1984).

References

- Ahsanullah, M. (1984). A characterization of the exponential distribution by higher order gap. *Metrika* **31**, 323-326.
- Gather, U. (1989). On a characterization of the exponential distribution by properties of order statistics. *Statistics & Probability Letters* **7**, 93-96.
- Sackrowitz, H. and Samuel-Cahn, E. (1984). Estimation of the mean of a selected negative exponential population. *J. R. Statist. Soc. B* **46**, 242-249.
- Too, Y.-H. and Lin, G.D. (1989). Characterizations of uniform and exponential distributions. *Statistics & Probability Letters* **7**, 357-359.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report #90-10		
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Purdue University			5b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)
6a. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of Statistics West Lafayette, IN 47907			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-88-K-0170, DMS-8606964, DMS-8702620	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Arlington, VA 22217-5000		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) CHARACTERIZING EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS VIA CONDITIONAL INDEPENDENCE					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) TaChen Liang					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) February 1990	
15. PAGE COUNT 5					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Characterization; exponential distribution; conditional independence.		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be n mutually independent, nonnegative random variables such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an absolutely continuous distribution function $F(x; \theta_i) = F(\frac{x}{\theta_i})$, where $\theta_i > 0$, and $F(\cdot)$ has support $[0, \infty)$. We show that given $Y_i - Y_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the random variables $Y_1 - Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} - Y_n$ and Y_n to be conditionally mutually independent is that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Y_i has an exponential distribution.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Shanti S. Gupta			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 317-494-6031		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL